

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,035	03/08/2005	Mauro Antonio Giacomello	FR920020015US1	5566	
30449 SCHMEISER.	7590 10/15/2007 OLSEN & WATTS	EXAMINER			
22 CENTURY HILL DRIVE			GAMI, TEJAL		
SUITE 302 LATHAM, NY 12110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·	·		2121		
				_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/527,035	GIACOMELLO, MAURO ANTONIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tejal J. Gami	2121		

	Tejal J. Gami		2121	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover s	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE TH				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to this application, applicant must timely file one of the places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comtime periods:	or on the same day as fit following replies: (1) an a Notice of Appeal (with	iling a Notice of a amendment, affi appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date o no event, however, will the statutory period for reply e Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See Mi	f this Advisory Action, or (2) expire later than SIX MONTH (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BO PEP 706.07(f).	the date set forth HS from the mailing OX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. NOTICE OF APPEAL	e date on which the petition d of extension and the corre of the shortened statutory pose later than three months a 704(b).	sponding amount eriod for reply origi fter the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offite of the final rejection, o	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	y extension thereof (37 C e filed within the time per	CFR 41.37(e)), to riod set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th 7 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require furth (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTI) (c) They are not deemed to place the application	ner consideration and/or E below);	search (see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without cancel NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.3	ling a corresponding num	nber of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CF 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitte			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(how the new or amended claims would be rejected The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25 and 37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	s): a) 🛛 will not be ente is provided below or app	ered, or b) 🗌 wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final acti because applicant failed to provide a showing of go was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ood and sufficient reason:	s why the affida\	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date o entered because the affidavit or other evidence faile showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is need. 	ed to overcome <u>all</u> rejecti cessary and was not earl	ions under appe ier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An expl REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
 The request for reconsideration has been conside See Continuation Sheet. 	red but does NOT place			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08) Pape	er No(s)	THOMAS PHA PRIMARY EXAM	
·			THOMAS PHA PRIMARY EXAM	INER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as written are anticipated by the prior art cited in the previous office action, dated 08/10/2007. Therefore, applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive.

Continuation of 13. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive. Examiner is entitled to give claim limitations their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. See MPEP 2111 [R-1] Interpretation of Claims-Broadest Reasonable Interpretation.